

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/712,239	11/13/2003	Rajeev Chhabra	9103M	8603		
27752	27752 7590 07/19/2006			EXAMINER		
THE PROC	CTER & GAMBLE COM	TORRES VELAZQ	TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVIS ILL BUSINESS CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1771	1771		
CINCINNA	TI, OH 45224	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/712,239	CHHABRA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771		

- state and state good and supposed states	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771	·			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED W						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 July 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NO bw); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-18.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)/				
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, , ,	4				
	_ <b>\</b>	<i>IM I</i> )				

Norca L. Torres-Velazquez
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1771

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments indicating that the secondary reference of SMITH fails to teach reinforcing means from the group consisting of thermal bonding, chemical bonding, ionic bonding, adhesive bonding and combinations thereof, are not persuasive as the SMITH reference teaches embossing under conditions of high humidity and high temperature [that will provide heat treatment in order to obtain a greater set in the embossed compacted areas 22]. (Refer to Col. 7, lines 62-70) It is the Examiner's position that such teaching will read on the claimed thermal bonding. It is noted that the Examiner has equated areas 22 of Smith to the claimed protruding elements.

Continuation of 13. Other: It is noted that claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DOBRIN et al. (US 6,383,431 B1) in view of SMITH (US 3,616,157). The rejection of claims 3-11 under 35 USC 103(a) over BRENNA et al. '784 in view of WALTON et al. '280 has been withdrawn.